| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|--|
| | 09/656,868 | VEENEMAN, DALE E. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| · _ | Jason E. Mattis | 2616 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: _ | |
| (1) <u>Jason E. Mattis</u> . | (3) | • |
| (2) <u>Joel Wall</u> . | (4) | • |
| Date of Interview: <u>11 May 2006</u> | Time: <u>11:15am</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | ant's representative) | |
| | | |
| Part I. | • | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| 1, 18 | • | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | • |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Discussed amendment to claims in order to clairify the claims. | RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA | AS DISCUSSED: |
| Down III | | |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa | ne examiner will provide a wri record of the substance of th | tten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview |
| · | | |
| | | |
| | | • |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | <u> </u> | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican | t/Applicant's Representative S | Signature – if appropriate) |